

## 🕎 Πανεπιστήμιο Πειραιώς — Ερωτηματολόγιο αξιολόγησης μαθήματος

🖬 Τμήμα—Πρόγραμμα σπουδών 🔄

Course Title:

Instructor: Semester:					
A. Course	Not at	all Low	Medium	High	Very
1. Targets (Expected outcome) of the course were clear	0	0	0	Ō	high 〇
2. The material was within the goals of the course	0	0	0	0	0
3. The material was well organized	0	0	0	0	0
4. The material (textbook, slides, notes, problems) was very helpful	0	0	0	0	0
5. Many examples were provided	0	0	0	0	0
6. Extra literature was very helpful	0	0	0	0	0
7. A combination of teaching methods was used (lectures, tutorials, lab meetings etc.)	0	0	0	0	0
8. New technologies were used (e-class)	0	0	0	0	0
9. The mechanism of the course evaluation was clear	0	0	0	0	0
A1. Determine whether the course shows high difficulties in comparison with:	0	0	0	0	0
10. Knowledge from other courses	0	0	0	0	0
11. The pace of transmitting new knowledge	0	0	0	0	0
12. Loading of homework	0	0	0	0	0
B. Expected results	0	0	Ŭ	Ŭ	0
13. You obtain new knowledge	0	0	0	0	0
14. You comprehend the topics covered in this course	0	0	0	0	0
15. You enhance your capacity to solve problem and complex issues	0	0	0	0	0
16. You enhanced your critical capacity	0	0	0	0	0
17. You enhanced the capacity of team work	0	0	0	0	0
18. You organize your studying strategies	0	0	0	0	0
19. You improve your capacity to search new information	0	0	0	0	0
20. You obtain new skills	0	0	0	0	0
F. Instructor					
21. He/she enhances your interest in this course	0	0	0	0	0
22. He/she encourages active class participation	0	0	0	0	0
23. He/she offers feedback, while he/she guides your studying strategies.	0	0	0	0	0
24. He/she is helpful in generating strategies that help your comprehension in reaching rational conclusions	0	0	0	0	0
25. He/she is open to respond to questions	0	0	0	0	0
26. He/she is approachable	0	0	0	0	0
27. He/she is professional (on time lectures, office hours, on time grading, etc).	0	0	0	0	0
Δ. Assistant Instructor					
28. Was he/she helpful?	0	0	0	0	0
E. Labs (where they apply)	0	~	~	~	~
29. Was there any link between lab tutorials and the main course?	0	0	0	0	0
30. The lectures notes were sufficiently enough for tutorials? 31. There were sufficient explanatory notes for solving homework problems?	0	0	0 0	0 0	0 0
32. The lab's equipment is adequate for the needs of the course?	0	0	0	0	0
ΣT. Homework. If it applies	0	0	0	0	0
33. Homeworks were within the syllabus of the course	0	0	0	0	0
34. Homework was helpful	0	0	0	0	0
35. The course requirements were clear	0	0	0	0	0
36. The evaluation criteria were clear	0	0	0	0	0
37. The guidance for writing homework papers was clear	0	0	0	0	0
Z. My personal questions	-	-			
38. I consistently attend classes	0	0	0	0	0
39. I sufficiently respond to tutorials and homeworks	0	0	0	0	0



Department:			
Course Title:			
Semester:	Instruct	:or:	

## Z.Departmental questions

40.	My new knowledge was useful	0	0	0	0	0
41.	The material uses the scientific developments in the subject.	0	0	0	0	0
42.	I would recommend this course.	0	0	0	0	0
43.	The Instructor was well prepared for our meetings.	0	0	0	0	0
44.	The Instructor has a sufficient knowledge of the subject.	0	0	0	0	0
45.	The Instructor was open to meet his/her students during office hours.	0	0	0	0	0
46.	I would recommend this Instructor.	0	0	0	0	0
47.	What is your expected grade?	0	0	0	0	0



General comments (positive or negative) and any suggestions:

Which textbook and part of the notes was the most useful teaching material?

Which textbook and part of the notes was the least useful teaching material?

Which part of the lectures raised the highest interest?

Which part of the lectures raised the lowest interest?



Which topics do you recommend having stronger coverage next year?

Which topics do you recommend having less coverage next year?

Please, suggest any other topics you prefer to be covered.

In your view, please mention the strongest characteristics of this course.

In your view, please mention the weakest characteristics of this course.



In your view, please mention the strongest characteristics of the Instructor.

In your view, please mention the weakest characteristics of the Instructor.

Please, provide any suggestions to the Instructor to improve the quality of his/her teaching.